Toxin to medicine and bioisosterism in drug development: a study of the discovery and development of ACE inhibitors from snake venom
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Abstract

The advent of the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors is a landmark in drug discovery and a breakthrough in the management of hypertension. Their clinical introduction has led to appreciable increase in the lifespan of hypertensive patients. And their development initiated a new era of structure-based or rational drug design that has subsequently been applied successfully for development of drugs for many other disorders. This paper presents an account of the discovery, design and development of ACE inhibitors from an academic perspective and possibly, as a guide to future research. The paper highlights the milestones and recounts the challenges encountered and the strategies applied in the search for ACE inhibitors. This exposition also expounds some of the concepts and intricacies of drug discovery, design and development.
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Introduction

The ACE inhibitors are an important group of drugs that are used in the treatment of hypertension, congestive heart failure, and chronic kidney disease (Jackson, 2006). They inhibit ACE, an important component of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS), which controls blood pressure by regulating arterial vasoconstriction and extracellular fluid volume (Byrd et al., 2019). ACE converts angiotensin I to the vasoconstrictor angiotensin II and inactivates the vasodilator bradykinin. Inhibition of ACE leads to decrease in blood volume and dilation of blood vessels, which results with decrease in blood pressure, helping to control hypertension. The advent of the ACE inhibitors is a landmark in drug discovery and a breakthrough in the management of hypertension. Their clinical introduction has led to appreciable increase in the lifespan of hypertensive patients (Borer, 2007). The discovery and development of ACE inhibitors entailed series of long and arduous projects spanning almost a century, from the inception of the discovery of the renin-angiotensin system in 1898 to the observation and isolation of hypotensive peptides from Brazilian pit viper’s venom to the extensive structural modifications that led to the discovery and introduction of captopril, a first-in-class, orally active ACE inhibitor, in 1981. In this paper, an account of the discovery, design and development of ACE inhibitors is given, from an academic perspective and, possibly, as a guide to future research. The paper highlights the milestones and recounts the challenges encountered and the strategies applied in the search for ACE inhibitors. In this exposition, some of the concepts and intricacies of drug discovery, design and development are also expound.
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Bioisosteric considerations in drug development

Drug discovery, design and development is a capital and labour intensive process that involves a complex interaction between academia, industry, investors and regulatory authorities. It is hardly an orderly or predictable process. However, it often begins with the search for new lead compounds. A lead compound is a compound of therapeutic interest whose chemical structure is used as a starting point for chemical modifications to achieve favourable pharmacological properties. Optimization of a lead compound to fulfill the desired therapeutic effect is a key step in drug development process and presents considerable challenge to medicinal chemists. Lead optimization entails identifying the core structure(s) of a lead compound and making defined changes to its functional groups or substituents to test specific hypotheses. A typical lead compound often possesses multiple modification points and the number of feasible alternative groups at each point could be high; making the potential molecules that could be synthesized very high. Therefore, the question of which molecules to synthesize and test is of great import. The concept of bioisosterism is a rational and strategic approach to efficiently explore and exploit the possible options to this question. This has been further expanded and made easier by the availability of facile computational methods.

Bioisosterism is the application of isosterism to the design of bioactive molecules. The concept of isosterism was formulated in 1919 by Irving Langmuir (awarded the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1932). He defined isosteres or isosteric compounds as compounds or groups of atoms that possess the same number and/or arrangement of electrons e.g. N₂ and C=O; CH₄ and NH₄⁺; Cl⁻, K⁺ and Ca²⁺; etc. (Langmuir, 1919). In his seminal paper “Isomorphism, isosterism and covalence”, Langmuir noted the remarkable similarities in physical properties of isosteres. The concept of isosterism was broadened in 1925 by the introduction of Grimm's hydride displacement law which prefers that addition of a hydrogen atom to another atom results into a pseudoatom of the next atom on the periodic table (Table 1) (Grimm, 1925).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pseudoatoms:</th>
<th>Number of electrons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₂NH₂OH₂FH⁺</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃NH₃OH₃⁺</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄NH₄⁺</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With this expansion, CH and N; CH₂, NH, and O, etc. are isosteres; they have similar electrosteric and physical properties. Erlenmeyer and Leo (1932) further broadened Grimm's classification and redefined isosteres as elements, molecules or ions in which the peripheral layers of electrons may be considered identical (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isosteres based on the number of peripheral electrons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of peripheral electrons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb⁺</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, Erlenmeyer and Leo (1932) notably proposed the concept of ring equivalences to include isosteric matches between different ring systems. The concept of isosterism has been further developed by a number of scientists, notably, Friedman (1951), Thornber (1979) and Burger (1991). The application of isosterism in medicinal chemistry led to the concept of bioisosterism.

Bioisosteres are substituents or groups with similar chemical and physical properties that produce similar biological properties (Thornber, 1979; with slight modification). The appropriate application of isosterism in drug development demands careful analysis of the physical, chemical, electronic and conformational parameters involved in the planned bioisosteric replacement, so as to predict, although theoretically, any eventual alterations in terms of the pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties which the new isosteric substituent presents (Lima and Barreiro, 2005). As further noted by Lima and Barreiro (2005), certain isosteric substituents could dramatically alter the physicochemical and electrosteric properties of molecular candidates and, consequently, their activities. This can be understood by considering the bioisosteric transformation resulting from isosteric replacement of hydroxyl (–OH) with amine (–NH₂), which is an example of classic isosterism of monovalent groups according to Grimm’s law. Specifically, considering the bioisosteric replacement of aromatic hydroxyl present in phenol (Fig. 1a) with amine, we have aniline (Fig. 1b) resulting in a significant change in the acid-base properties of the molecule, with dramatic modification of the pKa, which may consequently alter the pharmacokinetic profile of the molecule in question. In addition, with regard to molecular recognition and interaction with a given receptor site, there is a change from a negatively charged acidic phenoxide moiety (Ph-O⁻, pKa = 10.0) to a positively charged basic anilinium moiety (Ph-NH³⁺, pKb = 9.30), which may, quite probably, abolish the original activity (Barreiro and Fraga, 2001). Thus, pure isosteric exchange is often not feasible in drug design.
Fig. 1. Isosterism and bioisosterism.

Furthermore, it has been shown that groups that do not contain the same number of atoms nor possess similar electrosteric properties could exhibit the same biological properties. A classic example of this is found in the replacement of the phenolic hydroxyl group in the adrenergic alkaloid, synephrine (Fig. 1c) with an alkyl sulfonamide group. The resulting compound (Fig. 1d) showed comparable biological activity to synephrine (Larsen and Lish, 1964), which indicates that both functional groups involved are appropriate bioisosteres for the molecule and molecular target in question. These and similar findings have led to the classification of bioisosteres as classical and non-classical bioisosteres (Burger, 1970).

Table 3. Examples of classical bioisosteres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monovalent</th>
<th>Divalent</th>
<th>Trivalent</th>
<th>Tetravalent</th>
<th>Ring equivalents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F and H</td>
<td>−C=C−, −C=N</td>
<td>=CH−</td>
<td>R₄N⁺</td>
<td>Benzene, pyridine,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₂ and OH</td>
<td>−C=O, −C=S</td>
<td>=N−</td>
<td>R₄C</td>
<td>thiophene, furan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, NH₂ and CH₃</td>
<td>−CH₂−, −NH−</td>
<td>=P−</td>
<td>R₄Si</td>
<td>THF, pyrrolidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl, Br, SH and OH</td>
<td>−O−, −S−</td>
<td>=As−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Examples of non-classical bioisosteres.

Classical bioisosteres are atoms or molecular units that have similar steric and electronic properties. These encompass (a) monovalent atoms or groups, (b) divalent atoms or groups, (c) trivalent atoms or groups, (d) tetravalent atoms and (e) ring equivalents (Table 3).

Non-classical bioisosteres are atoms or molecular units that are structurally distinct, usually comprise different number of atoms and exhibit different steric and electronic properties. These include (a) cyclic or acyclic isosteres, (b) exchangeable functional groups isosteres and (c) retroisosterism - inversion of a defined functional group present in the lead molecule to produce an isostere with the same function (Fig. 2). Non-classical bioisosterism are predominantly used in contemporary drug design.
Table 4.  Examples of bioisosteric replacement in drug development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug (Replacement)</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-fluorouracil (5-FU)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5-FU is an antagonist of thymine. It inhibits DNA synthesis and is used as antineoplastic agent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin (Bayer, 1983)</td>
<td>Norfloxacin (Kyorin, 1979)</td>
<td>Cipro is about 10 times as potent as norfloxacin. It is far more successful. It is one of the most commonly prescribed drugs and had earned Bayer about 2 billion euros as at 2001 (Prochilo 2013; Wise et al., 1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cimetidine</td>
<td>Metiamide</td>
<td>Cimetidine is free from the side effects (nephrotoxicity and agranulocytosis) which limited the clinical use of metiamide (ACS, 1997)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bioisosterism has been widely applied in drug design to achieve improved selectivity, fewer side effects, decreased toxicity, improved pharmacokinetics, increased metabolic stability, simplified synthetic routes, patented novel compounds, and development of analogues of therapeutic innovations (me-tooism). Few examples of the successful application of bioisosteres in drug development are shown in Table 4. Given the vast amounts of bioactivity data and chemoinformatics resources now available, many in silico approaches are now available to mine and identify bioisosteric replacements for fragments of candidate molecules and to evaluate candidate molecules against simulated biological targets. A thorough review of the wide variety of computational approaches to bioisosterism has been published (Papadatos and Brown, 2013).

**Physiological importance of blood pressure and hypertension**

Blood pressure, the pressure exerted by the blood upon the walls of the arteries and veins, is vital to maintaining normal function in the body. Adequate blood pressure is essential to ensuring that all parts of the body are supplied with blood - the vital fluid that sustains them. To be adequate, not only does blood pressure have to be high enough to flow rapidly throughout the body, but it needs to change quickly to accommodate the body’s needs (Patlak, 2003). Normal blood pressure in an adult is approximately 120 mmHg systolic and 80 mmHg diastolic; often abbreviated as 120/80 mmHg (Whelton et al., 2018). High blood pressure or hypertension, defined as blood pressure being persistently above 130/90 or 140/90 mmHg, poses a major health risk. It affects 16–37% of the population globally and in 2010 was reported to have been a factor in 18% of all deaths globally (Campbell et al., 2015; Poulter et al., 2015).

However, it was not until the middle of the 20th century that elevated blood pressure was recognized as a serious health problem. Prior to this time it was merely regarded as a benign consequence of aging. By this time, researchers had uncovered that hypertension often fosters other cardiovascular diseases (such as heart attacks, strokes, heart failure and aneurysm) and kidney failure (Kannel et al., 1961; Patlak, 2003). These findings indicated the need for development of drugs for treating hypertension. However, progress could not be made in this regard until scientists gained a better understanding of how the body controls blood pressure.
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Renin–angiotensin system (RAS) and angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) as drug targets

The inception of the discovery of the renin–angiotensin system and its role in hypertension was in 1898 when Tigerstedt and Bergman found that saline extracts of kidney contained a vasopressor which they named renin (Tigerstedt and Bergman, 1898). Following many years of extensive studies into physiology of blood pressure regulation and pathophysiology of hypertension, by the 1960s, the renin–angiotensin system (RAS) had been elucidated and its major functions described. The renin–angiotensin system (RAS) or the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) is a hormone system that regulates blood pressure, fluid and electrolyte balance, and systemic vascular resistance (Fountain and Lappin, 2019).

In response to a decrease in blood pressure, juxtaglomerular cells in the kidneys are activated; converting prorenin into renin and releasing renin into the blood stream. Renin, a protease, then hydrolyzes angiotensinogen, a circulating protein released by the liver, to produce a physiologically inactive decapeptide, angiotensin I. Subsequently, angiotensin I is cleaved by the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE), a dipeptidyl-carboxypeptidase found mainly in the lungs, to angiotensin II, an octapeptide (Fig. 3). Angiotensin II binds to its receptors causing vasoconstriction, which results to an increase in blood pressure (Yee et al., 2010). Angiotensin II also stimulates the secretion of aldosterone, a hormone involved in the regulation of blood volume and sodium and potassium balance (Ghosh and Gemma, 2014). Aldosterone increases the reabsorption of sodium ions by the renal tubules, leading to increase in retention of water and increase in blood volume, which contributes in increasing blood pressure.

The discovery and description of the renin–angiotensin system presented several ready targets, such as renin and ACE, for the development of drugs for treating hypertension. However, the impetus for development of ACE inhibitors as anti-hypertensive agents came from an unusual source.

Snake venom isolate as lead to ACE inhibitors

Workers in banana plantations of south-western Brazil were repeatedly reported to collapse suddenly after being bitten by a pit viper, Bothrops jararaca. This aroused the curiosity of Mauricio Rocha e Silva, a biomedical scientist at a Brazilian research institute, who began to study the effects of the venom of B. jararaca in dogs and guinea pigs. In 1948, Rocha e Silva discovered a peptide in animal blood plasma incubated with venom of the Brazilian pit viper (Hagwood, 1997). The peptide, which was found to dilate blood vessels and responsible for the hypotensive effect and circulatory shock induced by the venom, was named bradykinin. In the mid-1960s, one of Rocha e Silva’s students, Sergio Ferreira, for his PhD thesis isolated a factor from the snake venom. This factor demonstrated vasodilatation effect by raising bradykinin levels in the blood and he termed it bradykinin potentiating factor (BPF) (Ferreira, 1965). Subsequently,
Ferreira traveled to London with the snake venom to work as a post-doc in the lab of Sir John Vane (awarded the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine in 1982), a pharmacologist at the Royal College of Surgeons. Vane, immersed in studies of angiotensin I and II, and ACE at the time, enthusiastically suggested to Ferreira to examine his BPF on the renin-angiotensin system (Vane, 1999). Ferreira however chose to continue his work on bradykinin. Few years later, Vane and his other colleagues eventually tested BPF on the renin-angiotensin system and showed that BPF inhibited the conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II (Aiken and Vane, 1970; Bakhle, 1968). In 1970, now convinced that ACE inhibition was important, Ferreira and his team isolated nine peptides from BPF and they called them bradykinin potentiating peptides (BPPs) (Ferreira et al., 1970a). BPPs were shown to not only induce bradykinin potentiation but also inhibit angiotensin I conversion (Ferreira et al., 1970b), thus indicating the parallelism between both activities and corroborating the findings of Vane’s team. Two out of the nine peptides (BPP9a and BPP5a) were considered for further studies; for separate reasons. BPP9a (later called teprotide), a nonapeptide, was the most active, while BPP5a, a pentapeptide (Fig. 4a), was the smallest, indicating easier synthesis and characterization. Recognizing the pharmacological potentials of the snake venom and its isolates, Vane, who was then a consultant for the U.S. pharmaceutical company Squibb (now Bristol-Myers Squibb), suggested to researchers at Squibb to study the snake venom and its isolates for their effects on the renin-angiotensin system and possibly as leads for the development of novel drugs for the treatment of hypertension (Vane, 1999).

### Structure-based drug design and development of Captopril

In 1966, a chemist at Harvard University, William Lipscomb (awarded the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1976) used X-ray crystallography to determine the three-dimensional structure of carboxypeptidase A, a pancreatic enzyme. Four years later, Lipscomb published the detailed structure and mechanism of the enzymatic activity of the enzyme (Lipscomb, 1970). In the early 1970s, Larry Byers and Richard Wolfenden at University of North Carolina reported L-benzyl succinic acid as a potent inhibitor of carboxypeptidase A. They noted the structural similarities between L-benzyl succinic acid and the substrate and by-products of the catalytic action of the enzyme. Owing to this observation, they proposed a concept of “by-product analogue” inhibitor design and designed other inhibitors of carboxypeptidase A that mimicked the structures of the by-products of the peptidolytic action of the enzyme (Byers and Wolfenden, 1972; 1973).

Following this series of events, Cushman and Ondetti at Squibb noted the similarities between the properties of carboxypeptidase A and ACE (a dipeptidyl-carboxypeptidase whose structure had not been determined then, in fact, it was not until 2003 that the first human ACE structure was reported by Natesh et al.). They speculated that ACE has an active site similar to that of carboxypeptidase A, presumably including the presence of a zinc ion, as reported in the structure of carboxypeptidase A (Cushman and Ondetti, 1991). They opined that the major difference between the two carboxypeptidasises was that the active site of ACE had evolved to accommodate a dipeptide residue rather than a single amino acid residue of the peptidolytic action of carboxypeptidase A. They subsequently resumed their search for ACE inhibitors. They rationalized their search by developing hypothetical model of the active site of ACE and proposed a hypothetical template for its inhibitors (Fig. 5).

---

**Fig. 4.** Amino acid sequences of BPP5a and BPP9a or teprotide.

Vane’s suggestion was not received with much enthusiasm; scientists at Squibb noted that a peptide drug would be susceptible to metabolic deactivation by peptidases and inactive orally. However, eventually a biochemist and a peptide chemist at Squibb, David Cushman and Miguel Ondetti embarked on the project. They sequenced BPP9a (Fig.4b) and established that it is a potent ACE inhibitor and also made a synthetic version called SQ 20,881 or teprotide after its four proline residues (Cheung and Cushman, 1973; Ondetti et al., 1971). However, as they had predicted, teprotide was poorly absorbed and susceptible to metabolic deactivation by peptidases in the gut. Following this development, they tinkered with the compound but all the resulting compounds failed abysmally (Patlak, 2003). During this time between 1970 and 1973 scientists at Squibb randomly screened about 2000 compounds in their lab to see if they too might inhibit ACE, but except for a few metal binding compounds and other nonspecific agents, the exercise was almost completely in vain (Ondetti et al., 1982). These failures and frustrations led to the abandonment of the project at the time.
The chemists at Squibb systematically modified their hypothetical template and made a series of derivatives (Fig. 6) which were tested as ACE inhibitors. They found that the compound that gave the best activity was succinyl-L-proline (Fig. 6d), which retains the proline terminal unit of their first lead—teprotide (Cushman et al., 1977).

Though succinyl-L-proline gave the best activity of the tested compounds (Fig. 6), its activity was still not as high as required for an effective drug. In fact, it was only about 1/500 as potent as teprotide, thus necessitating further modification (Cushman et al., 1977). The carboxylic group at C3, responsible for binding to the zinc ion on the enzyme, was identified for modification and this led to search for better alternative groups. Isosteric exchanges with amine, amide, guanidine and sulfhydryl were considered. The sulfhydryl function yielded the compound succinyl-L-proline sulfide (Fig. 7b), a potent inhibitor that was about 1600 times more active than succinyl-L-proline.

Further development involved optimization of the acyl side chain (Fig. 8). Chain extension by increase in methylene (–CH₂–) group from 2 to 3 gave 4-mercaptoalkanoyl derivative (Fig. 8c), which was about 50 times less potent. Similarly, chain contraction by decrease in methylene (–CH₂–) group from 2 to 1 yielded 2-mercaptoalkanoyl derivative (Fig. 8a) with 5 times reduction in activity. However, insertion of methyl group (–CH₃) group at C2 of the acyl side chain gave the compound SQ 14,225 (Fig. 8d), a 2-methyl-3-mercaptopropanoyl derivative which was about 10 times more potent. SQ 14,225 was found to be the most potent inhibitor of all the compounds tested and Squibb introduced it as captopril (marketed as Capoten) in April 1981, about a decade after the commencement of the project. The discovery and development of captopril, the first marketed, orally active ACE inhibitor, was a breakthrough and among the earliest successes of the
revolutionary and innovative concept of structure-based drug design, which takes into account the enzyme structure and molecular interactions to guide drug development (it has to be emphasized here that through the entirety of the project, which culminated in the discovery of captopril, the structure of ACE had not been fully characterized, the design approach by the Squibb’s team was largely conjectural). For this feat, Cushman and Ondetti were awarded the Lasker Award in 1999 and designated “Heroes of Chemistry” by the American Chemical Society in 2000. They were also inducted into the National Inventors Hall of Fame in 2007.

The development and design of other ACE inhibitors

Cushman et al. (1982) noted that “…captopril is a very simple chemical structure with at least five well-defined chemical interactions with the active site of ACE”. It is apparent however that the simplicity of captopril does not incorporate all the possible competitive interactions with ACE; thus, allowing further optimization and development of subsequent ACE inhibitors. In addition, following its approval and clinical introduction, captopril was observed to be associated with rashes, metallic or loss of taste, and proteinuria (Atkinson and Robertson, 1979). It was also reported to have a relatively poor pharmacokinetic profile, its short half-life necessitating 2–3 per day dosing, which portends poor treatment adherence. These side effects and pharmacokinetic limitations also stimulated the modification of captopril and development of subsequent ACE inhibitors. The modifications have mainly involved replacement of the sulfhydryl function and/or varying the amino acid backbone.

Fig. 6. Structures of succinyl-L-proline and few other succinyl derivatives tested against ACE.

Fig. 7. Development of succinyl-L-proline sulfide (application of functional group isosterism to lead optimization).
Fig. 8. Development of captopril, (modification of chain length and substituent for lead optimization).

**Development of enalapril**

Enalapril was the first ACE inhibitor introduced after captopril. It was developed partly to overcome the limitations and optimize the ACE inhibitory activity of captopril. Medicinal chemists at Merck Research Laboratories speculated that the sulfhydryl group was responsible for the aforementioned side effects, since such side effects were also known with the sulfhydryl-containing chelator penicillamine, which Merck markets for Wilson’s disease (Patchett, 2002). They hypothesized that replacing the sulfhydryl group might attenuate the side effects as well as increase metabolic stability. Initial modification involved isosteric replacements of the sulfhydryl group (–SH) with a carboxylate function (HOOCCH₂–) and the methylene (–CH₂–) group at C3 of the acyl side chain with an amine function (–NH–) to afford a dipeptide (Ala–Pro) derivative (Fig. 9b). This dicarboxylate compound however was less potent than captopril; this was suspected to be due to the increased polarity and hydrophilicity (Patchett et al., 1980). To compensate for this, a methyl group (–CH₃) was inserted at the α-carbon to the N-terminal carboxyl group. The resulting derivative (Fig. 9c) was more potent than the previous compound but still less potent than captopril. Systematic exploration of hydrophobic substituents at this position revealed that larger groups are more favourable. Insertion of a phenethyl function afforded the compound enalaprilat (Fig. 9d), which was about 20 times more potent than captopril and without the side effects that limited the latter (Patchett et al., 1980). However, enalaprilat had poor oral bioavailability (Ulm, 1983). To overcome this, the N-terminal carboxyl group was esterified to obtain enalapril (Fig. 9e), a prodrug that is deesterified in vivo to the active form, enalaprilat. Enalapril (marketed as Vasotec) was introduced by Merck in December 1985; and it became Merck’s first billion dollar-selling drug in 1988 (Li, 2013).

**Development of other dicarboxylate ACE inhibitors**

Subsequent to the clinical success of enalapril, other dicarboxylate ACE inhibitors (Fig. 10) were developed. They were specifically developed with enalapril (or enalaprilat) as the lead compound. The first of these compounds was developed by Merck’s scientists. It was obtained from systematic exploration of amino acid functions at each position in enalaprilat. Replacement of the methyl group (–CH₃) at C2 of the acyl side chain with a lysyl function (–(CH₂)₄NH₂) gave lisinopril, which had comparable potency to enalaprilat. Lisinopril is more hydrophilic, but surprisingly, it has good oral bioavailability (Patchett, 1993), thus not a prodrug unlike others in this group and was introduced by Merck in 1987. The next was cilazapril (introduced by Hoffmann-La Roche), which was the first ACE inhibitor drug without the proline unit. Cilazapril inspired other lipophilic ACE inhibitor prodrugs such as, ramipril (Hoechst AG, now Aventis), benazepril (Novartis), perindopril (Servier) and trandolapril (Abbott) which involved an expansion of the proline unit into a bicyclic system. Modification of ring size is an important strategy in lead optimization. The principle behind this strategy is that expansion or contraction of a ring system may slightly adjust the angles and relative positions of the neighboring atoms and groups, which could lead to better interactions with specific regions in the binding site of the target. This can be understood by considering a series of

\[
\text{(a): } \text{IC}_{50} = 1.1 \mu M \\
\text{(b): } \text{IC}_{50} = 0.2 \mu M \\
\text{(c): } \text{IC}_{50} = 9.7 \mu M \\
\text{(d): SQ 14,225, } \text{IC}_{50} = 0.023 \mu M \\
\text{(Captopril)}
\]
monocyclic lactam analogues of enalaprilat developed by Merck’s scientists (Fig. 11). For these compounds, an almost 4000-fold increase in activity was observed in passing from the five-membered to the eight-membered homologue (Thorsett et al., 1986; Wermuth, 2006), indicating the dramatic increase in ligand-target affinity impacted by the ring expansion. Furthermore, it is a well-known rule of thumb in drug design that enlarging a molecule or incorporation of bulky groups often confer rigidity and usually improves selectivity. This could explain the improved potencies of the dicarboxylate ACE-inhibitors with bicyclic systems. The rank order of potency of different ACE-inhibitors has been investigated in different biological assays and reported as: benazeprilat > ramiprilat > perindoprilat > lisinopril > enalaprilat > fosinoprilat > captopril (Dzau et al., 2001).
Toxin to medicine and bioisosterism in drug development: a study of the discovery and

Here, a docking study was conducted on some ACE-inhibitors to evaluate their binding affinities against human testis ACE protein (tACE) (PDB ID: 1UZF, Natesh et al., 2004). The results (Table 5) show that the expanded molecules have higher binding affinities compared to captopril. The binding affinity is in the order: fosinoprilat > cilazaprilat > benazeprilat = ramiprilat > enalaprilat > perindoprilat > lisinopril > zofenoprilat > captopril. As shown in Fig. 12, the sulfhydryl group of captopril interacts with the catalytic zinc ion; it further anchors the ligand to the protein through a series of pi-sulfur interactions with HIS-353, HIS-383 and TYR-523 residues. The carboxylate and carbonyl groups of captopril also interact with the catalytic unit with HIS-353, HIS-383 and TYR-523, respectively, through hydrogen bond formation. A couple of pi-alkyl interactions was observed between the methylene group at the 3-position of captopril’s proline unit with HIS-383 and TYR-523 residues. Interestingly, these computed binding interactions are similar to those obtained by Natesh et al. (2004) from experimentally co-crystallized ACE–captopril complex and those originally hypothesized by Cushman et al. (1977) in their design approach. For the dicarboxylate ACE inhibitors, the second carboxylate function shows similar interactions to those of the sulfhydryl group of captopril. As shown in Fig. 13, the carboxylate group of cilazaprilat interacts with HIS-353, just like the sulhydryl in captopril; it however forms additional hydrogen bond with HIS-513. The other carboxylate group further binds the ligand to the protein through a series of hydrogen bonds with ASN-277, GLU-376 and GLN-281 residues. Furthermore, the phenyl group affords a pair of pi-pi T-shaped interactions with PHE-457 and PHE-527.

**Development of other sulfhydryl ACE inhibitors**

In order to optimize the ACE inhibitory activity of captopril, medicinal chemists at Squibb subjected captopril to structural modifications (Krapcho et al., 1988). Systematic exploration of lipophilic alkyl and aromatic substituents at the 4-position of the pyrrolidine ring led to the insertion of a phenyl sulfanyl group (PhS–), giving the compound zofenoprilat (Fig. 14b), which demonstrated greater ACE inhibitory activity. However, like captopril, zofenoprilat has unfavourable oral pharmacokinetic profile. This was identified to be due to the free sulfhydryl group on the side chain. Protection of this group with a benzoyl unit (PhCO–) afforded the prodrug, zofenopril (Fig. 14c), a more lipophilic and potent drug with longer duration of action but with similar rash forming and irritative taste propensity as captopril.

Table 5. Molecular docking analysis of some ACE-inhibitors on human testis ACE protein (tACE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Binding Affinity (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>Docking Materials and Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fosinoprilat</td>
<td>-9.4</td>
<td><strong>3D-structure of tACE protein (PDB ID: 1UZF):</strong> <a href="#">www.rcsb.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cilazaprilat</td>
<td>-8.8</td>
<td><strong>3D-structure of ligands: PubChem database</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benazeprilat</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td><strong>Protein and ligand preparations: Discovery Studio Visualizer (DSV)</strong>, AutoDock Tools*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramiprilat</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td><strong>Protein-ligand docking: AutoDock Vina</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enalaprilat</td>
<td>-8.4</td>
<td><strong>Grid box dimension: size (Å): 40 x 44 x 118; center (Å): 40.473 x 37.237 x 43.429; spacing (Å): 0.506</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perindoprilat</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td><strong>Exhaustiveness: 100</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisinopril</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td><strong>Visualization and analysis of results: DSV</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zofenoprilat</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td><strong>Exhaustiveness: 100</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captopril</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td><strong>Visualization and analysis of results: DSV</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BIOVIA, 2021; ¦Morris et al., 2009; ‡Trott and Olson, 2010
Similar modifications involving the sulphydryl and/or proline functions led to the development of other sulfanyl ACE inhibitors such as fentiapril, pivalopril and alacepril (Fig. 15).

**Development of phosphinate ACE inhibitors**

The development of phosphinate ACE inhibitors started from the observation of the hypotensive effects of phosphoramidon, a secondary metabolite isolated from the bacterium *Streptomyces tanashiensis* (Suda et al., 1973). Phosphoramidon was found to be a potent inhibitor of ACE and ECE (endothelin converting enzyme involved in the proteolytic release of endothelin 1, 2 and 3, which are prohypertensive vasoconstricting peptides) (Petillo et al., 1983). Phosphoramidon, a glycopeptide, contains a phosphoramide linkage between an L-rhamnose glycone and an L-leucine-L-tryptophan dipeptide (Fig. 16a).

**Fig. 12.** 3D and 2D diagrams of ligand-protein interaction of captopril and tACE showing the protein surface, ligand structure and the interacting residues and atoms.

**Fig. 13.** 3D and 2D diagrams of ligand-protein interaction of cilazaprilat and tACE showing the protein surface, ligand structure and the interacting residues and atoms.
carboxypeptidase A revealed that the phosphinyl moiety in phosphoramidon mediates its binding to the Zn$^{2+}$ in these enzymes (Kam et al., 1979). Scientists speculated that this moiety could mediate similar function against ACE. These findings inspired medicinal chemists at Squibb to attempt to develop ACE inhibitors containing the phosphinate function. Applying the concepts employed in the development of zofenoprilat and enalaprilat they developed the compound fosinoprilat (Fig. 16c) (Krapcho et al., 1988). Fosinoprilat demonstrated the desired potency but proved to have the same shortcoming as enalaprilat, poor oral bioavailability. Further development involving the protection of the phosphinate hydroxyl group with a hydrophobic group to mitigate the ionization propensity of the molecule led to a prodrug, fosinopril (Fig. 16d) (DeForrest et al., 1989), currently the only phosphinate-containing ACE inhibitor in clinical use.

Among the ACE-inhibitors used for the docking study against tACE, fosinoprilat has the highest binding affinity, giving a binding energy of -9.4 kcal/mol (Table 5). As shown in Fig. 17, the phosphinyl moiety largely mediates the binding of the ligand to the active site of the protein. It interacts strongly with GLN-281, LYS-511 and HIS-513 residues through hydrogen bonds. It further interacts with HIS-353 and TYR-523. The carboxylate group of the proline unit forms additional interactions through hydrogen bonds with ASN-277 and THR-282. Furthermore, the phenyl extension shows a pair of pi-alkyl interactions with VAL-379 and VAL-380. A couple of hydrophobic interactions was also observed between the cyclohexyl group and VAL-380 and ALA-354 residues.
Other natural product ACE inhibitors

Since the report of the ACE inhibitory activity of teprotide and other peptides isolated from the Brazilian pit viper’s venom, there has been a continuous search for novel inhibitors of ACE from natural sources. Peptides have received special attention in this regard; this could be attributed to the crucial role that snake venom peptide played in the discovery and development of the first clinically approved ACE inhibitor and the growing interest in nutraceuticals and functional foods. Presently, hundreds of peptides with antihypertensive activity have been reported. Recently, a database, AHTPDB, was developed, which systematically catalog about 6000 antihypertensive (mostly ACE inhibitory) peptides along with their properties (Kumar et al., 2015). Some of the most potent ACE inhibitory peptides reported are presented in Table 6. It is proposed to present here, only the sequences, sources and IC_{50} values; further details may be found by reference to the original papers. Out of these peptides, the short chain sequences have received considerable attention due to the fact that they are less affected by proteolytic degradation by the gastrointestinal enzymes when orally ingested and are easily absorbed and, therefore, bioavailable (Aluko, 2008; Kitts and Weiler, 2003; Yuan and Kitts, 1991). Examples are the well-known lactotripeptides, Ile-Pro-Pro (IPP) and Val-Pro-Pro (VPP), which are structurally similar to the first peptide ACE inhibitor, teprotide (Fig. 18). Several studies have been carried out to evaluate the clinical importance of the lactotripeptides in the management of hypertension; these studies however have produced conflicting results.
Table 6. Some peptides from natural sources showing ACE inhibitory activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (µM)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VVYPWTQRF</td>
<td>Oyster mantle</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>Ketnawa and Rawdkuen (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSV</td>
<td>Rapeseed</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Wu et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IKW</td>
<td>Chicken muscle</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>Fujita et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRW</td>
<td>Pea</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>Gu et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRP</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>Miyoshi et al. (1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LKP</td>
<td>Chicken muscle</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>Fujita et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VVYPW</td>
<td>Bullfrog muscle</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>Sagardia et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRIPVA</td>
<td>Spinach</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFGVRCVSP</td>
<td>Egg proteins</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Majumder and Wu (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFGRCVSP</td>
<td>Egg proteins</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Gu et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHKIATFQER</td>
<td>Baker’s yeast</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Kohama et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTDVDFIK</td>
<td>Sweet-potato</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>Huang et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVY</td>
<td>Wheat gliadin</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>García et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FKGRYYP</td>
<td>Chicken muscle</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>Fujita et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRW</td>
<td>Spinach</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRW</td>
<td>Egg proteins</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Gu et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRY</td>
<td>Egg proteins</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Majumder and Wu (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLYGY</td>
<td>Casein</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>Contreras et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LKY</td>
<td>Sesame</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>García et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>Cheese</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Puchalska et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IC₅₀: concentration of peptide capable of inhibiting 50% of ACE activity

These results have been further analysed in six published meta-analyses. Five of these meta-analyses (Cicero et al., 2010; 2013; Pripp, 2008; Turpeinen et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2008) concluded that the lactotripeptides have hypotensive effects in pre-hypertensive and hypertensive subjects and could therefore be applied as a supplement or alternative to pharmaceutical treatment for mild hypertension. However, Usinger et al. (2012) in their meta-analysis opined that the evidence is not sufficient to suggest that the lactotripeptides could be a successful intervention in pre-hypertensive and hypertensive subjects. Nevertheless, in several countries, including USA, Spain, Japan, Finland, Switzerland, Iceland, UK, and Italy, products enriched with lactotripeptides are available in the market as blood pressure-lowering agents (Warensjo et al., 2010).

Conclusion

The ACE inhibitors were introduced primarily as antihypertensive agents. Subsequently they were found to be useful for other cardiovascular and kidney diseases (Jackson, 2006). Today, the ACE inhibitors have not only become established as cornerstones in the treatment of the entire continuum of cardiovascular diseases, including hypertension, stable coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction and heart failure, and diabetic neuropathy, they have also substantially improved the prognosis of patients with these conditions (Borer, 2007). The account of the development of the ACE inhibitors reflects the compounding effects of basic and collaborative research in scientific breakthroughs. And as the case of the statins (Oladipupo et al., 2019), it highlights the rigorous research and great amounts of time and resources often needed to nurture a lead compound or concept to a clinically approved drug. In addition, the development of the first ACE inhibitor initiated a new era of structure-based or rational drug design that has subsequently been applied successfully for development of drugs for many other disorders, including HIV and cancer.

Acknowledgement

This manuscript was originally prepared as a lecture in Drug Discovery, Design and Development course. The author is grateful to his academic mentor, Distinguished Prof. H.A.B. Coker, for stimulating the conception of the idea of this paper.
References


Maced. pharm. bull., 66 (2) 15 – 33 (2020)


Maced. pharm. bull., 66 (2) 15 – 33 (2020)


Резиме

Откривање и развој на АКЕ инхибитори од змиски отров: од токсин до лек и биоизостеризам во развојот на лекови

Аколаде Р. Оладипипо*

Оддел за фармацевтска хемија, Фармацевтски факултет, Универзитет во Лагос, Кампус на Колеџ за Медицина, РМВ 12003, Иди-араба, Лагос, Нигерија

Клучни зборови: развој на лекови, АКЕ инхибитори, пептид од змиски отров, биоизостеризам, антихипертензивни агенси

Откривањето на инхибиторите на ангиотензин конвертирачкиот ензим (АКЕ) претставува значајно обележје во промаѓањето на лекови и напредок во третманот на хипертензија. Нивното воведување во клиничката пракса доведе до значително зголемување на животниот век кај пациентите со хипертензија. Од друга страна пак, развојот на АКЕ инхибитори иницираше нова ера на структуриран или рационален дизајн на лекови што последователно се примени за развој на лекови за многу други нарушувања. Овој труд претставува извештај за откривањето, дизајнот и развојот на АКЕ инхибиторите од академска гледна точка и исто ти би можел да користи како водич за идни истражувања. Трудот ги истакнува пресвртниците и ги опишува предизвиците и стратегиите применети за време на развојот на АКЕ инхибиторите. Исто така, во него детално се прецизирани некои од концептите и сложеноста на откривањето, дизајнот и развојот на овие лекови.
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